

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,095	04/01/2004	Dominic A. Cataldo	BGT 2-007	9481
	7590 06/11/200 ND SMITH, LPA	EXAMINER		
MUELLER-SM	IITH BUILDING		LEVY, NEIL S	
7700 RIVERS COLUMBUS,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1615	
	•			•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	06/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/816,095	CATALDO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	NEIL LEVY	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN OF T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
·— · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 April 2007</u> .				
,	,—				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 495 C.G. 215.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14,15,17-20 and 39-41</u> is/are pe 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14,15,17-20 and 39-41</u> is/are rej 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	0 🗆	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim1-3, 14, 18, 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

SAID nanoclay" has no antecedent nanoclay in (b) of claim 1.

Claim 3 is confusing-which comes first-claim 2 process, or that of 3, or does it not matter?

In claim 14, please spell out EPDM.

Claim 18 now expands, rather than limits, claim 1 (Tactoid clay) and no solvents are already at 1. Likewise with claim 41.

Claims1--12, 14, 15, 17-20,39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)as being unpatentable over OHNO et al 5876738 in view of BEALL et al 5730996 and TON-THAT et al US 2005/019490.

OHNO, of record, uses the instant nanoclays, montmorillonite, bentonite (column 2, bottom) to incorporate antifungals (column 2) in a liquid state (column 3, lines 60-65) as in the instant invention, and then incorporated into the instant polyolefin's, polyurethane forming the instant barrier for control of targeted living fungal species (column 7, lines 29-53). Intercalation with ammonia ion chemicals was not done.

BEALL (col 11, top) also utilizes the same pesticidal intercalated nano-clays, (col 10, lines 37-39) ammonium functionalized water-soluble polymers (col 11, lines 3-22; col 16, lines 6-8, 27-32) are acceptable intercalate polymers. Beall explains that intercalation by introduction of ammoniated compounds was well known(col. 1,2), but exfoliation is enhanced with aqueous solutions of the intercalant/Pesticides. BEALL shows pesticides include not only the triademefon (col 19) fungicides of OHNO, but also the instantly claimed insecticides, cypermethrin, isofenphos, fenvalerate, permethrin, pyrethrins (pyrethrum) endosulfan and organophosphate type insecticides (col 21). Although bifenthrin is unmentioned, it would be obvious that one in the art of insecticide use would be able to substitute any of the pyrethroids, given the variety of them disclosed herein.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,095

Art Unit: 1615

The instant size and aspect ratio are seen as met (col 3, lines 8-55; col 8, lines 5-34) as the platelets of montmorillonite are those of the instant invention. BEALL uses mixtures of pesticides (claim 1 of Beall). Although BEALL favors aqueous solutions, TON-THAT shows that bentonite [0031] so intercolated provides improved stability [0003] and shows the instant ammonium compounds used to prepare nanoclays [0033] useful in the instant polymeric barriers [0016] [0036].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made desiring to utilize pest control means, to use any of art recognized means, as of the OHNO nano-clays modified as desired to increase stability, dispersibility, compatability of ingredients, processing ease, reduced toxicity to handlers.

All the critical elements of the instant are disclosed. The amounts and proportions of each ingredient are result effective parameters chosen to obtain the desired effects. It would be obvious to vary the form of each ingredient to optimize the effect desired, depending upon the particular species and application method of interest, reduction of toxicity, cost minimization, enhanced, and prolonged, or synergistic effects, & stabilization of components.

Applicant has not provided any objective evidence of nonobvious or unexpected results that the administration of the particular ingredients' or concentrations provides any greater or different level of prior art expectation as claimed, and the use of ingredient for the functionality for which they are known to be used is not basis for patentability.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/27/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments, & declaration, are seen as overcoming the rejection of record over the claims as now amended. However, examiner finds the lack of water added to the prior art compositions an option of the artisan; enhanced stability of the

Art Unit: 1615

nanoclay preparations, with enhanced function in the long term as of the instant polymeric barrier compositions would follow the incorporation of an ammonium intercalant of the Ohno clays, & as Ton-That teaches, without water or solvent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL WOODWARD can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1615